# Linux Writeback Queues

Wu Fengguang <wfg@linux.intel.com>

November 4, 2008





# Outline

### writeback queues

• bugs => solutions => principles

### **2** writeback policies

- location ordering
- lazy writeback



```
linux-2.6.22 $ wc -l fs/fs-writeback.c mm/page-writeback.c
704 fs/fs-writeback.c
1027 mm/page-writeback.c
1731 total
```

That code does so many different things it ain't funny. This is why when one thing gets changed, something else gets broken.

Andrew Morton



2~8	kernel	threa	ıds,	writing	back	dirty	data i	n back	groun	d
USER	PID	%CPU	%men	1 VSZ	RSS	TTY	STAT	START	TIME	COMMAND
root	198	0.0	0.0	) 0	0	?	S	08:21	0:00	[pdflush]
root	199	0.0	0.0	0 0	0	?	S	08:21	0:00	[pdflush]

### expected function

on every 5s:
 for each dirty old inode (i.e. now - dirtied\_when > 30s):
 sync inode meta-data & pages to disk

5s: tunable via /proc/sys/vm/dirty\_writeback\_centisecs 30s: tunable via /proc/sys/vm/dirty\_expire\_centisecs



# inode list organization



### Writeback task as 3-level loops:

for all super\_blocks
for all dirty inodes (expired)
for all dirty pages
 sync page



data structure								
wo /ordered/ queues per superblock:								
<pre>s_dirty: park imporing dirty inodes</pre>								
<pre>s_io: hold dirty inodes to be worked in this wakeup</pre>								

### work flow

- 0) s\_dirty accepting newly dirtied inodes (as always!)
- 1) splice s\_dirty to s\_io
- 2) iterate through \*old\* dirty inodes in  $s_{io}$  for writing back
- 3) splice remained young dirty inodes in s\_io back to s\_dirty

# 2-queue park-splice-work model: example



- file 1 is 1GB
- file 2,3 are 1KB

### • file 1 will delay file 2,3 for too long time.

# live-lock issue: busy file blocks other files

• file 1 is being written to fast enough

• the following files could be blocked for a long time, if not for ever.

 $\Rightarrow$ 

# solution: striped syncing

- sync at most 4MB/file at a time
- move partially-synced files to the tail of s\_dirty, so that they can be served in the next round



### lack-of-writeback problem

LKML message from David Chinner <dgc@sgi.com> on Feb 2006:

The workload involves ~16 postmark threads running in the background each creating ~15m subdirectories of ~1m files each. The idea is that this generates a nice, steady background file creation load. Each file is between 1-10k in size, and it runs at 3-5k creates/s.

The disk subsystem is nowhere near I/O bound - the luns are less than 10% busy when running this workload, and only writing about 30-40MB/s aggregate.

The problem comes when I run another thread that writes a large single file to disk. e.g.:

# dd if=/dev/zero of=/mnt/dgc/stripe/testfile bs=1024k count=4096

to write out a 4GB file. Now this goes straight into memory (takes about 7-8s) with some writeback occurring. The result is that approximately 2.5GB of the file is still dirty in memory.

It then takes over an hour to write the remaining data to disk. The pattern of writeback appears to be that roughly every dirty\_expire\_centisecs a chunk of 1024 pages (16MB on altix) are written to for that large file, and it is done in a single flush.

- large dirtied file
- continuously emerging small dirty files

### large file writeback slowed down to 1024 pages / 30 sec

# lack-of-writeback problem: reasoning

- s\_dirty goes out-of-order when (4) follows (2),
- file 1 blocked until expiration of file 6.



# lack-of-writeback problem: proposal

inodes need more work  $\implies$  s\_more\_io



### writeback code - more complete

```
1 + loop
 2 +
         nr_to_write = MAX WRITEBACK PAGES(=1024)
 3 +
         for each super block
 4 +
             if s io is empty
 5
                 splice s more io to s io.tail
 6
                 move expired s_dirty inodes to s_io
 7
             for each inode on s io
 8
                 writeback up to nr_to_write pages
 9
                 if inode needs more work, put on s_more_io
10 +
                 if pages skipped, put back to s dirty
11 +
                 nr_to_write -= pages written
12 +
                 break if nr to write <= 0
13 +
             break if nr to write <= 0
14 +
         break if nr to write > 0
```

line 4: starvation/livelock prevention
line 10/14: source of the next two bugs

# starvation/live-lock prevention

Let A =large file; B,C,D,E,F,G,... =small files.

# no line 4 sync 4MB of A; draw more files; ... // A starves the following files sync B,C,D,E,F,G,...; draw more files ... // livelock on imporing expired dirty files

### with line 4

```
sync 4MB of A; sync B,C;
sync 4MB of A; sync D,E;
sync 4MB of A; sync F,G;
...
done with this superblock's dataset
```

Wu Fengguang (Intel OTC)

# lack-of-writeback-2 problem

```
% ls -his /var/x
847824 200M /var/x
```

```
% dmesg|grep 847824 # generated by a debug printk
  529.263184] redirtied inode 847824 line 548
Г
[ 564.250872] redirtied inode 847824 line 548
[ 759.198568] redirtied inode 847824 line 548
# line 548 in fs/fs-writeback.c:
543
                    if (wbc->pages_skipped != pages_skipped)
544
                            /*
545
                             * writeback is not making progress due to locked
546
                             * buffers. Skip this inode for now.
547
                             */
548
                            redirty tail(inode):
549
```

More debug efforts show that \_\_block\_write\_full\_page() never has the chance to call submit\_bh() for that big dirty file: the buffer head is \*clean\*. So basicly no page io is issued by \_\_block\_write\_full\_page(), hence pages\_skipped goes up.

```
the comment in __block_write_full_page():
1713  /*
1714  * The page was marked dirty, but the buffers were
1715  * clean. Someone wrote them back by hand with
1716  * ll_rw_block/submit_bh. A rare case.
1717  */
```

pages\_skipped accounts 'locked buffer', but here is 'clean buffer'!
So fix it with:

# lack-of-writeback-3



# lack-of-writeback-3 problem



nr\_to\_write > 0 in (3) fools upper layer to think that data have all been
written out. The big dirty file is actually still sitting in s\_more\_io.

We have to return when a full scan of s\_io completes. So **nr\_to\_write** > 0 does not necessarily mean that "all data are written". Introduce more\_io flag to indicate that some superblock(s)

- have more work in s\_more\_io;
- temporarily yielded to give other superblocks a chance.

- files get synced fast, finally! (?)
- ext2/reiserfs/jfs goes 100% iowait .



• LRU reclaim triggers page-by-page writeback

• address space sequential writeback is desired

• tag dirty pages in radix tree to speedup lookup

dirty pages are

 $\Rightarrow$ 

- defined by PG\_dirty
- searchable by PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY



# dirty flag & tag - protocol

```
redirty_page_for_writepage
  __set_page_dirty_nobuffers
                              if !TestSetPageDirty
                                       set PAGECACHE_TAG_DIRTY
set_page_writeback
 test_set_page_writeback
                              if !TestSetPageWriteback
                                       set PAGECACHE TAG WRITEBACK
                              if !PageDirty
                                       clear PAGECACHE TAG DIRTY
end page writeback
 test clear page writeback
                              if TestClearPageWriteback
```

clear PAGECACHE\_TAG\_WRITEBACK

```
set_page_dirty (page is locked except called from zap_pte_range())
                                       PG dirtv
                (infinite small time)
                                       PG dirty PAGECACHE TAG DIRTY
\Rightarrow (triggers writeback some time later)
lock_page
                            PG_locked PG_dirty PAGECACHE_TAG_DIRTY
clear_page_dirty_for_io
                                                PAGECACHE TAG DIRTY
                            PG locked
set_page_writeback
                            PG locked PG writeback PAGECACHE TAG WRITEBACK
unlock_page (wait for io)
                                       PG_writeback PAGECACHE_TAG_WRITEBACK
end_page_writeback
```

# dirty flag & tag - redirty examples

(a) set\_page\_dirty lock\_page clear\_page\_dirty\_for\_io redirty\_page\_for\_writepage set\_page\_writeback unlock\_page end\_page\_writeback (b) set\_page\_dirty lock\_page clear\_page\_dirty\_for\_io set\_page\_writeback redirty\_page\_for\_writepage unlock\_page end\_page\_writeback

(b) PG\_dirty PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY
PG\_locked PG\_dirty PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY
PG\_locked PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY
PG\_locked PG\_dirty PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY
PG\_locked PG\_dirty PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY PG\_writeback PAGECACHE\_TAG\_WRITEBACK
PG\_dirty PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY

The page has both PG\_dirty(D)/PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY(d) after being written to; and then only PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY(d) remains after the file is closed.

```
[T0] /home/wfg# cat > /test/tiny
[T1] hi
[T2] /home/wfg#
```

```
[T1] /home/wfg# echo /test/tiny > /proc/filecache
[T1] /home/wfg# cat /proc/filecache
    # file /test/tiny
    # flags U:PG_uptodate D:PG_dirty B:PG_buffer d:PAGECACHE_TAG_DIRTY
    # idx len state refcnt
    0    1    ___UD__Bd_ 2
[T2] /home/wfg# tail -2 /proc/filecache
    # idx len state refcnt
    0    1    ___UD__Bd_ 2
```

Notice the non-zero 'cancelled\_write\_bytes' after /tmp/hi is copied.

```
[T0] /home/wfg# echo hi > /tmp/hi
[T1] /home/wfg# cp /tmp/hi /dev/stdin /test
[T2] hi
[T3] /home/wfg#
```

```
[T2] /proc/4397# cd /proc/'pidof cp'
                                       [T3] /proc/4713# cat io
[T2] /proc/4713# cat io
    rchar: 8396
                                            rchar: 8399
    wchar 3
                                            wchar: 6
    syscr: 20
                                            syscr: 21
    syscw: 1
                                            syscw: 2
    read_bytes: 0
                                            read_bytes: 0
    write_bytes: 20480
                                            write_bytes: 24576
    cancelled write bytes: 4096
                                            cancelled write bytes: 4096
```

Reiserfs could accumulate dirty sub-page-size files until umount time. They cannot be synced to disk by pdflush routines or explicit 'sync' commands. Only 'umount' can do the trick.

The direct cause is: the dirty page's PG\_dirty is wrongly \_cleared\_.

Call trace:

<pre>cancel_dirty_page+0xd0/0xf0</pre>
:reiserfs:reiserfs_cut_from_item+0x660/0x710
:reiserfs:reiserfs_do_truncate+0x271/0x530
:reiserfs:reiserfs_truncate_file+0xfd/0x3b0
:reiserfs:reiserfs_file_release+0x1e0/0x340
fput+0xcc/0x1b0
fput+0x16/0x20
filp_close+0x56/0x90
sys_close+0xad/0x110
system_call+0x7e/0x83

Fixed the bug by removing the cancel\_dirty\_page() call.

# ext2 bug tracing

Tough task: cannot reproduce... Wrote a kernel module for end user, do jprobes on requeue\_io() to print inode info.

Get this:

inode 114019(sda7/.kde) count 2,2 size 0 pages 1 2 0 0 U inode 114025(sda7/cache-ibm) count 2,1 size 0 pages 1 2 0 0 U inode 114029(sda7/socket-ibm) count 2,3 size 0 pages 1 0 2 0 U inode 114017(sda7/0266584877) count 3,6 size 0 pages 1 0 2 0 U

The .kde/cache-ibm/socket-ibm/0266584877 above are confirmed to be directories.

```
To reproduce:
```

console 1 console 2
\$ mkdir a; cd a
\$ touch b; rm b
\$ rmdir a
\_\_\_\_\_ 100% iowait \_\_\_\_\_
\$ cd ..

writeback: clear PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY for truncated page in block\_write\_full\_page()

The 'truncated' page in block\_write\_full\_page() may stick for a long time. E.g. ext2\_rmdir() will set i\_size to 0, and then the dir inode may hang around because of being referenced by someone.

So clear PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY to prevent pdflush from retrying and iowaiting on it.

```
Signed-off-by: Fengguang Wu <wfg@mail.ustc.edu.cn>
---
```

# jfs bug fix

commit 29a424f28390752a4ca2349633aaacc6be494db5
Author: Dave Kleikamp <shaggy@linux.vnet.ibm.com>
Date: Thu Jan 3 13:09:33 2008 -0600

JFS: clear PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY for no-write pages

When JFS decides to drop a dirty metapage, it simply clears the META\_dirty bit and leave alone the PG\_dirty and PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY bits.

When such no-write page goes to metapage\_writepage(), the 'relic' PAGECACHE\_TAG\_DIRTY tag should be cleared, to prevent pdflush from repeatedly trying to sync them. This is done through set\_page\_writeback(), so call it should be called in all cases. If no I/O is initiated, end\_page\_writeback() should be called immediately.

This is how \_\_block\_write\_full\_page() does things.

Signed-off-by: Dave Kleikamp <shaggy@linux.vnet.ibm.com> CC: Fengguang Wu <wfg@mail.ustc.edu.cn>

### More buggy fs?! Let's stop the game...



### When we didn't write back all the pages...

```
linux/fs/fs-writeback.c __sync_single_inode()
```

```
inode->i_state |= I_DIRTY_PAGES;
         requeue_io(inode);
         if (wbc->nr_to_write <= 0) {</pre>
                  /*
+
                   * slice used up: queue for next turn
+
                   */
+
                  requeue_io(inode);
+
         } else {
                  /*
+
                   * somehow blocked: retry later
                   */
+
                  redirty_tail(inode);
+
         }
+
```

Writeback task as 3-level loops:

for all super\_blocks
for all dirty inodes (expired)
for all dirty pages
 sync page



# root of problem: cannot de-dirty inodes in one shot

### • the dataset is highly dynamic

- newly/repeatedly dirtied/expired inodes
- newly/repeatedly dirtied pages
- gone inodes/pages

### • inodes cannot be synced for now

- locked inodes/pages/etc
- io queue is congested

### • inodes should not be synced in one shot

- large files: starvation for small files
- busy files: could lead to livelock

### • superblocks should not be synced in one shot

• fairness/livelock issues

### • cannot hold inode\_lock for a long time

- exit after writing 4MB data (break if >= MAX\_WRITEBACK\_PAGES)
- 2 take a breath
- In the second second

The real-world writeback logic is kind of

```
while(more io)
for a in A // iterate super_blocks
for b in B // iterate dirty inodes (expired)
for c in C // iterate dirty pages
sync, break, re-enter and continue on every 4MB
```

where

- cursors a,b,c should be properly saved
- sets A,B,C should remain stable in traversing

so that the iterations can

- continue from last position
- finish in bounded time

The set, cursor and data source are:



- s\_io.prev is cursor 'b', which remembers our position in the list;

- s\_io+s\_more\_io forms set 'B', which is only updated to pull in new expired inodes from s\_dirty, after one full iteration of it is completed \*and\* cursor 'a' has completed one full iteration on the superblocks.

### inode list iteration - protocol



- s\_dirty contains expired and non-expired dirty inodes. The non-expired ones are in time-of-dirtying(dirtied\_when) order.
  - s\_io contains expired and non-expired dirty inodes, with expired ones at the head. Unexpired ones (at least) are in time order.
- s\_more\_io contains dirty expired inodes which haven't been fully written. Ordering doesn't matter.

### - Andrew Morton

### inode list iteration - example

Suppose some 8MB dirty files Fn are to be synced. The iterations may go like this:

	s_more_io	s_io	s_dirty
		[ queue_io() ]	[ F1-F5 expire ]
то		F1,F2,F3,F4,F5	F6,F7,F8
T1	F1	F2,F3,F4,F5	F6,F7,F8
T2	F1,F2	F3,F4,F5	F6,F7,F8
ТЗ	F1,F2,F3	F4,F5	F6,F7,F8
T4	F1,F2,F3,F4	F5	F6,F7,F8
T5	F1,F2,F3,F4,F5		F6,F7,F8
		[ queue_io() ]	[ F6-F8 expire ]
Τ6		F1,F2,F3,F4,F5,F6,F7,F8	
T7		F2,F3,F4,F5,F6,F7,F8	
T8		F3,F4,F5,F6,F7,F8	
Т9		F4,F5,F6,F7,F8	
T10		F5,F6,F7,F8	
T11		F6,F7,F8	
T12	F6	F7,F8	
T13	F6,F7	F8	
T14	F6,F7,F8		
		[ queue_io() ]	
T15		F6,F7,F8	
T16		F7,F8	
T17		F8	

# writeback objectives

- be fair
- be efficient
- KISS, as always



- collect jobs into big batches (sync every 5s)
- once triggered, send all data into io queue AFAP
- stripe files and sync in turn (will it hurt performance?)

For every file that is read from the disk, lets do a ... write to the disk!

And, for every file that is already cached and which we read from the cache ... do a write to the disk!

- Ingo Molnar

note: write = update atime and mark the inode dirty

### • noatime / nodiratime

- best!
- no luck as default

### relatime

- good enough, shall not break mutt
- 'could' be default

# atime writeback improvement possibilities

### more atime writeback delays



cons: will hold more inodes in memory and increase umount time

• piggy back atime updates - clustered writeback

# ordering by location - why



http://oss.oracle.com/~mason/compilebench/makej/

Wu Fengguang (Intel OTC)

Linux Writeback

### address hint: inode number

normally,

- inode number is proportional to address of inode;
- data blocks for inode N will be close to inode N.

or more loosely

- inode N will be close to inode N+1;
- data blocks for inode N will be close to inode N+1.

# ordering by location - how



- $\bullet$  store  $\texttt{s\_dirty}$  inodes in a radix tree, indexed by inode number
- sweep 1/5 of the inode number space in every 5s
- put inodes with dirty\_age > 5s to io queue

# ordering by location - try it out

- patchset available at http://lkml.org/lkml/2007/8/27/45
- try outs and feedbacks are warmly welcome
- favorable performance numbers are the key to mainline inclusion

[PATCH 0/3] [RFC][PATCH] clustered writeback [PATCH 1/3] writeback: introduce queue\_dirty() [PATCH 2/3] writeback: introduce dirty\_volatile\_interval [PATCH 3/3] writeback: writeback clustering by inode number

# Acknowledgements

- Andrew Morton
- Chris Mason
- David Chinner
- Ingo Molnar
- Ken Chen
- Michael Rubin
- Peter Zijlstra

54 / 55

# Thank you!



Wu Fengguang (Intel OTC)

Linux Writeback

2008 AKA kernel conference 55 / 55