# Rate based Dirty Throttling

Wu Fengguang <wfg@linux.intel.com>

April 17, 2011





Why the complexity?

IO-less reduce XFS contentions and disk seeks
 low latency capable of < 10ms pause times</li>
 smoothness maintain constant task dirty rate over time fairness tasks progress at exactly the same rate
 scalability 1000+ dd, O(1) algorithm
 task IO controller endogenous

cgroup IO controller well integrated proportional IO controller endogenous

## rsync problem

	how each fluctuates:		vanilla		Wu
	( large ==> small )		net send	per sec	cond
			130B	538k	806k
Workload			538k	538k	807k
=======			273k	543k	1081k
			269k	2690k	808k
50 dd + 1 remote rsync			114B	270k	1076k
writing to an XFS			114B	270k	676k
			114B	812k	943k
			114B	808k	807k
			114B	539k	1077k
			168B	269k	808k
			116k	807k	1082k
rsync bytes/sec			153k	808k	807k
			3230k	543k	1067k
			1344k	269k	819k
vanilla	545098.791		130B	808k	1081k
Jan	612853.130 (*)		2150k	808k	808k
Wu	891014.654		130B	1347k	808k
			114B	1888k	1078k
			114B	337k	812k
			114B	471k	807k
(*) need doub	le check		114B	538k	807k
			114B	808k	1076k
		1	114R	273k	807k l

	vanilla	Jan	Wu
balance_dirty_pages() pause time	0-3s	0-300ms	60-70ms
rsync throughput over Ethernet	1	+12.4%	+63.5%

Smooth and low latency writeback helps!

Inescapable IO completion fluctuations from FS/storage .....

Where to embody the fluctuations?

(1) **THRESHOLD** based throttling

keep dirty pages at THRESHOLD; fluctuations go to dirty rate

(2) **RATE** based throttling + gentle **POSITION CONTROL** 

keep task dirty RATE stable; allow fluctuations in dirty pages

### The change



#### dirty throttling

```
write() syscall
    balance_dirty_pages(pages_dirtied)
    task_ratelimit = dirty_ratelimit * pos_ratio;
    pause = pages_dirtied / task_ratelimit;
    sleep(pause);
```

#### balanced state (rate and position)

```
dirty_ratelimit aka. 'base throttle bandwidth'
== write_bw / N
== (write IO bandwidth) / (# of dd tasks)
```

pos\_ratio == 1.0

• control pages\_dirtied to get the desired pause

 $\implies \text{low latency}$ 

• stable dirty\_ratelimit + gently reacting pos\_ratio

 $\implies$  smoothness

### the balanced throttle bandwidth (theory)

When started N dd, throttle each dd at

```
task_ratelimit = dirty_ratelimit_0 (any non-zero initial value is OK)
```

After 200ms, we got

dirty\_bw = # of pages dirtied by app / 200ms
write\_bw = # of pages written to disk / 200ms

For aggressive dirtiers, the equality holds

The balanced throttle bandwidth can be estimated by

```
ref_ratelimit = dirty_ratelimit_0 * write_bw / dirty_bw (2)
```

From (1) and (2), we get equality

```
ref_ratelimit == write_bw / N (3)
```

If the N dd's are all throttled at ref\_ratelimit, the dirty/writeback rates will match.

### ref\_ratelimit estimated in 200ms!

However, real world is not perfect ....

#### **ITERATIVE METHOD**

ref\_ratelimit is fluctuating, has estimation errors due to control lags and write\_bw errors. It naturally asks for step-by-step approximations:

```
dirty_ratelimit = (dirty_ratelimit * 3 + ref_ratelimit) / 4
```

#### CONDITIONAL UPDATE

There is no need to update dirty\_ratelimit during a stable workload, which only makes it susceptible to noises. So do it defensively and update dirty\_ratelimit when

- dirty pages are departing from the global/bdi goals
- dirty pages are near the upper/lower bounds of the control scope

Adjust dirty rate to keep dirty pages around the desired position.

```
pos_ratio = 1.0
// gentle negative feedback control
pos_ratio -= (nr_dirty - goal) / SCALE;
pos_ratio -= (bdi_dirty - bdi_goal) / BDI_SCALE;
// sharp boundary control
if (near global limit) scale down pos_ratio
if (bdi queue runs low) scale up pos_ratio
task_ratelimit = dirty_ratelimit * pos_ratio
```

### position control lines



### Roll it up

#### on write() syscall

```
balance_dirty_pages(pages_dirtied)
{
    task_ratelimit = bdi->dirty_ratelimit * bdi_position_ratio();
    pause = pages_dirtied / task_ratelimit;
    sleep(pause);
}
```

#### on every 200ms

```
bdi_update_dirty_ratelimit()
{
    bw = bdi->dirty_ratelimit;
    ref_bw = bw * bdi_position_ratio() * write_bw / dirty_bw;
    if (dirty pages unbalanced)
        bdi->dirty_ratelimit = (bw * 3 + ref_bw) / 4;
}
```

```
mm/page-writeback.c
799 insertions(+), 196 deletions(-)
600+ more lines
```

```
200+ dedicated for smoothing/filtering
```

- smooth and low latency
- scale to 1000+ dd
- heavily tested and ready for use
- future proof
  - per-task and per-cgroup IO controllers
  - bandwidth and proportional IO controllers

# **Case Studies**

### pause time: 0-2500 ms (legacy kernel)



xfs, 8 dd, 4G mem, 2.6.38-rc7

### pause time: 0-2500 ms (Jan, JBOD)



xfs, 10 HDD JBOD, 32 dd on each disk, 6G mem, 2.6.38-rc8-jan-bdp+

### pause time: 50ms

- balance\_dirty\_pages() do sleeps in a for(;;) loop
- pause is the pause time in current loop
- paused is the accumulated pause times in previous loops

This graph: the task pauses for 45-50ms on every 57 pages dirtied.



### pause time: 5ms

To reduce wakeups and CPU overheads, max\_pause() has a policy to increase the target pause time on growing number of dirtier tasks.

It can actually do lower pause times at will, eg. 5ms:



btrfs, 10 dd, 4G mem, 5ms hard coded target pause time

### negative pause time

pause < 0 indicate delays outside of balance\_dirty\_pages()

#### user space think time, or

• write\_begin() etc. FS delays

This graph: each < 500 ms ext4 delay shows up as a train of negative pause times; > 500 ms delays are not compensated, so are single dots.



ext4, 10 dd, 4G mem, 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

### smoothness: bumping ahead (legacy kernel)



### smoothness: straight lines

- 3 superposed lines
- $\Rightarrow$  excellent smoothness and fairness among the 3 dd tasks



xfs. 10 dd. 4G mem. 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

The smoothness originates from the stability of base bandwidth, which won't change as long as being surrounded by the ref bandwidth, avg ref bandwidth and pos bandwidth.



oandwidth (MB/s)

ext4, 10 SSD JBOD, 100 dd on each disk, 64G mem, 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

It's a stable and reliable system. If ref bw got systematic errors and drive up/down base bw, dirty pages will go up/down the goal and pos bw will in turn go for the opposite side and stop base bw from drifting away.



ext4, 10 SSD JBOD, 100 dd on each disk, 64G mem, 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

### base bandwidth reliability (example)



btrfs, 1 dd, 3G mem, 2.6.39-rc3-wu-dt7+: 1kb reads lead to 4 times over-counted dirtied pages and ref\_bw estimation errors

- **1** provides per-task bandwidth IO controllers for free
- **2** provides per-task proportional IO controllers for free
- **③** supports per-task policies such as curbing seeky dirtiers more
- oper-cgroup IO controllers are demonstrated to be simple
- **()** lockless: the 200ms updates could be moved to each flusher
- adaptiveness: when some task/cgroup is ratelimited by user, the bdi will auto adapt to higher balanced dirty\_ratelimit for other tasks.
- bumpy workloads: works well on NFS/JBOD; extremely bumpy workloads will be guarded by the boundary control regions.

### bumpy NFS: bursty IO completions



unmodified NFS, 1 dd, 3G mem, 2.6.39-rc3-wu-dt7+

### bumpy NFS: 80ms pause



unmodified NFS, 1 dd, 3G mem, 2.6.39-rc3-wu-dt7+

### bumpy NFS: 30s pause (legacy and Jan's kernel)



unmodified NFS, 1 dd, 3G mem, 2.6.38-rc7

### smooth writeback on JBOD



### fluctuations: 4G ram

No free lunch. The smooth rates are traded by allowing more fluctuations in the number of dirty pages.

Amazingly, increased number of dd tasks hardly leads to increased fluctuations!



bandwidth (MB/s)

xfs, 100 dd, 4G mem, 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

### fluctuations: 1/10 dirty mem

The fluctuation range is typically within 1s worth of disk writes.

The less memory (or dirty ratio), the more *relative* fluctuations.



ext4, 10 dd, 3G mem, 2% dirty ratio, 2.6.39-rc3-wu-dt7+

- up to 20MB gaps between global/bdi dirty pages
- however, (bdi goal == global goal)!

NFS occupies quite some dirty pages without lowering the local disk's bdi dirty goal. This pushes global dirty pages high.



oandwidth (MB/s)

xfs, 100 dd, 3G mem, 2% dirty ratio, 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

### over-dirtying problem (UKEY)

UKEY accumulated much more dirty pages than its bdi goal before it got throttled on (nr\_dirty > (dirty\_thresh + background\_thresh) / 2).

This pushes global dirty pages high before the UKEY's dirty pages drop to normal after 200s.



xfs, 1 dd to UKEY + 1 dd to HDD, 3G mem, 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

Setup a brake area under the hard dirty limit. It's a leeway that can guarantee to balance the pressures created by 'dirty pages excessively exceeding bdi goal', in the cases of

- dirty pages accumulated at free-run time on slow devices
- sudden storage break down / slow down
- sudden workload surges

Note: it's not a new problem. Legacy kernels will be globally hard throttled and suffer more . . .

## rampup time: 200s (vanilla and Jan's kernel)



xfs, 8 dd, 4G mem, 2.6.38-rc7

### rampup time: 2s

The dirty pages took 200s to rampup, waiting for the bdi dirty proportion to build up. That's too much slow, so it's made faster by 4 times.

Moreover, bdi goal's rampup time is less relevant now. base bandwidth will be updated only when dirty pages are departing from the goal. This has the nice side effect of keeping dirty pages to the goal.



xfs, 10 dd, 4G mem, 2.6.39-rc2-wu-dt7+

### Integrated cgroup IO controller

Demonstrated by 60 lines of code! Basic ideas:

- Imaintain per-cgroup base bandwidth (can reuse code)
- 2 do simple cgroup position control to fix leaks (optional enhancement)

#### Merits

- per-bdi nature, works on NFS and Software RAID
- no delayed response (working at the right layer)
- no page tracking, hence decoupled from memcg
- no interactions with FS and CFQ
- get proportional IO controller for free
- reuse/inherit all the base facilities/functions

### Thank you!

